





Project no. 265863

ACCESS

Arctic Climate Change, Economy and Society

Instrument: Collaborative Project

Thematic Priority: Ocean.2010-1 "Quantification of climate change impacts on economic sectors in the Arctic"

D7.09 – Steering Committee meeting minutes

Due date of deliverable: **28/02/2015** Actual submission date: **26/03/2015**

Start date of project: March 1st, 2011

Duration: 48 months

1

Organisation name of lead contractor for this deliverable: Oasys

Project co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme (2007-2013)		
Dissemination Level		
PU	Public	
РР	Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)	Х
RE	Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)	
со	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	



ACCESS Steering committee meeting held on February 26, 2015 in Vilanova I la Geltrù

Participants: Jean-Claude Gascard, Michael Karcher, Lindsay Parson, Harald Schyberg, Arne Eide, John Isaksen, Joachim Schwarz, Jeremy Wilkinson, Peter Wadhams, Nathalie Sennechael, Mélanie Pellen

1. Publication of the synthesis report

Anne-Sophie let the SC know that AMBIO is an option for a joint publication of the ACCESS synthesis report. It was discussed though, that a journal which expects single articles may not be optimal for the synthesis. Instead it might be an option to use a journal like for a special issue with an assortment of ACCESS articles.

For the synthesis the EU publication office in Brussels could be a possibility to explore. Peter has already published for another European project ("climate change and natural hazard series") through the Commission for no costs. Jeremy will ask the ICE ARC Project Officer about this possibility. Jean-Claude also suggested to contact the Ocean of Tomorrow group.

EOS was suggested as an option to send out notice about the finishing of the project, key results and the synthesis, once it is ready.

Following the recommendation by the AB it was agreed that in addition to a 'box' of key results and key statements as they are result of ACCESS for each chapter/section in the synthesis, also a box with identified research gaps and requirements should be added for each chapter/section.

2. Future of MSP

This topic will be discussed in the next ICE ARC Steering Committee meeting in particular wrt the active MSP of WWF.

An alternative or additional option could be still the Resilience Center in Stockholm. Harald also suggested Oslo (Met.no).

3. Publications

The SC discussed on the future publications on Arctic climate change and cross-sectoral issues. Michael will prepare an email to ask the consortium if papers could be ready by September. This could be combined with a special issue in AMBIO or Climate Change.



4. Distribution of EC contribution at the end of the project

There is a possibility to have some remaining contribution to redistribute from ACCESS underspending partners. The money left could be distributed in prorata to the overspending partners.

By March 20 all partners should have provided their FormC. Thus the SC will have an overview of the financial status for a possible reallocation of funds.

5. Final report

The final report should be an extraction of the synthesis. However, the synthesis may not be ready by the end of April. So to help with the final report, WP leaders should provide 7 pages for the final report with:

- a description of the main S&T results (not exceeding 25 pages)
- the potential impact (including the socio-economic impact and the wider social implications of the project so far) and the main dissemination activities and exploitation of results/foreground (not exceeding 10 pages)

6. LCP's deliverables

A conflict about the authors of D5.61 has been raised. LCP was the original contributors, but the deliverable they provided one year ago was full of copied text from other sources without proper citation. The report had to be completely rewritten by Angela, Debra, Lindsay and Thomas. LCP should be removed as main author of this report.

The D5.51 is acceptable. One additional month is needed to have a final version of the D5.12.

Mélanie will ask the Project Officer about the consequences of submitting the deliverables after the end date of the project in terms of costs/PM. Would it be better to provide a draft to EC on date and a final version later on?

7. Future

The SC questioned about a way to participate to COP21 or a side event as Arctic will be represented. Jeremy will ask ICE ARC Project officer about our possibilities to participate under the umbrella of the EC.