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Expected enabling benefit

• Short-term benefit
  • Better understand the bottlenecks of our code and learn about performance optimization potentials.
  • Set of recommendations regarding focus points for code optimization changes.

• Long-term benefit:
  • A speedup of this code will enable researchers to perform more and/or longer simulations within a range of scientific projects.
Project objective

- Review the MPI pe mapping of the different sub-models (atmosphere, land, sea ice and ocean) currently used for model simulations.
- Analyze the NorESM code to identify code sections and routines to be further evaluated for performance optimization. We will do this by running suitable test cases through analysis tools such as TAU and VTune.
- Propose a set of recommendations for code optimization changes based on the performance analysis.
We are using two benchmarks cases

- N20TRAERCNTRCH & NF2005MOZNPF
  - The case setup, compiling, and running is complicated
  - The standard CCSM works fine
  - NorESM changes are copied by hand or edited on the file
  - This is prone to mistakes
  - Confusing for a new user
  - Took me almost 2 weeks to start running
  - A tool for NorESM on top of CCSM will be helpful for users
  - Can be a by product of our project
- Done some testing with N20TRAERCNTRCH
NorESM tool example

Building the case

```bash
rm -rf noresm-ver1-cmip5/cases/N20TRAERCNTRCH;
cd noresm-ver1-cmip5/scripts/;
cp '${MY_SRC}/config_machines.xml' ccsm_utils/Machines/config_machines.xml;
cp '${MY_SRC}/Macros.triolith.new' ccsm_utils/Machines/Macros.triolith;
cp '${MY_SRC}/config_pes.xml.new' ccsm_utils/Machines/config_pes.xml;
cp '${MY_SRC}/config_compsets.xml' ccsm_utils/Case.template/config_compsets.xml;
./create_newcase -case ../cases/N20TRAERCNTRCH -mach triolith -res f19_g16 -compset N20TRAERCNTRCH -pecount M;
cd ../cases/N20TRAERCNTRCH;
rm -rf SourceMods/src.cam/;
cp -R '${MY_SRC}/src.cam.' SourceMods/src.cam/;
rm -rf ../../models/atm/cam/bld/namelist_files/use_cases;
cp -R '${MY_SRC}/use_cases.' ../../models/atm/cam/bld/namelist_files/use_cases;
cp '${MY_SRC}/env_conf.xml.' env_conf.xml;
./configure -case;
cp '${MY_SRC}/config_cache.xml.' Buildconf/camconf/config_cache.xml;
cp '${MY_SRC}/cam.buildexe.csh.' Buildconf/cam.buildexe.csh;
rm LockedFiles/env_conf.xml.locked;
./N20TRAERCNTRCH.triolith.build
```

Case specific files kept in a separate folder
NorESM tool example

Running the case

```
cd noresm-ver1-cmip5/cases/N20TRAERCNTRCH;
vim -p env_mach_pes.xml;
cp ${MY_SRC}/env_conf.xml.N20TRAERCNTRCH env_conf.xml;
./configure -cleanall;
./configure -case;
cp ${MY_SRC}/cam.buildexe.csh.N20TRAERCNTRCH Buildconf/cam.buildexe.csh;
cp ${MY_SRC}/config_cache.xml.N20TRAERCNTRCH Buildconf/camconf/config_cache.xml;
rm LockedFiles/env_conf.xml.locked;
./N20TRAERCNTRCH.triolith.build;
cp ${MY_SRC}/cam.buildnml.csh.N20TRAERCNTRCH Buildconf/cam.buildnml.csh;
cp ${MY_SRC}/clm.buildnml.csh.N20TRAERCNTRCH Buildconf/clm.buildnml.csh;
sbatch N20TRAERCNTRCH.triolith.run
```

- Actual changes can be done in the `${MY_SRC}` folder
- This will keep the two code separate
- Chances of accidental delete is less
- More efficient
Profiling NorESM with TAU

- TAU is an open source profiling tool for MPI applications
  - TAU can be used in different modes
  - We used source code instrumentation mode
- Compiled the code with TAU compiler
  - mpif90 --> tau_f90.sh
  - Tau compiler puts profiling calls in each subroutine
  - temporary copy created on the fly
- Run as usual
  - Some TAU variables can be set in the environment
  - At the end of the run profile files will be generated
  - profiles can be seen by paraprof tool
Scaling and load balancing of NorESM

We tested 3 PE layouts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>CPL</th>
<th>LND</th>
<th>ICE</th>
<th>OCN</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>CPL (44)</td>
<td>LND (20)</td>
<td>ICE (24)</td>
<td>OCN (36)</td>
<td>~10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM (44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>CPL (60)</td>
<td>LND (30)</td>
<td>ICE (30)</td>
<td>OCN (36)</td>
<td>~9m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM (60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>CPL (92)</td>
<td>LND (52)</td>
<td>ICE (40)</td>
<td>OCN (36)</td>
<td>~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM (92)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>